

HEADS UP: 5. CHILD TRAFFICKING

Leon Edwards post - December 3, 2016

I was threatened by police with arrest if I took my baby from the Hospital for 72hrs. After those 72hrs passed, lolanda was then threatened with arrest by Police for another 72hours.

In a Police report we have:

Police officer reported " They have advised that if the parents try to remove the child after 05h30 hrs we take out another PPO. I have told them that we cant do this as it is an abuse of the power."

The first judge did not give the Court Order because he wanted to speak with us. He could not speak to us because we were not told where the hearing was.

So the SS applied to another Judge who gave the order.

Doing this enabled the SS to get a Court Order to steal our baby.

See the Edwards's website:

<https://www.ourbabywassnatched.com/>




Facebook Post April 9, 2026

Name withheld

Social services complicit in child trafficking just like Epstein ?
Gods Children are not for sale


Dear brothers and sisters, My name is Orangzabe latif (Rangy) from lcs.news. please read carefully. This is the largest child abuse scandal in the UK,Wales,Scotland and Ireland.

 THE PEOPLE'S ADVOCATE: THE TRUTH ABOUT TONY BLAIR, ADOPTION TARGETS & THE RISE IN CHILDREN TAKEN INTO CARE 

For decades, families across the UK have asked the same question:
"Why did the number of children taken into care explode in the 2000s?"

Today, The People's Advocate lays out the forensic timeline that exposes exactly what happened and why thousands of families are still living with the consequences.

This is not opinion.
This is not conspiracy.
This is documented government policy.

 17 TIMELINE OF EVENTS
WHAT REALLY HAPPENED


◆ 1990-1996: BEFORE TONY BLAIR


- The Children Act 1989 emphasizes family preservation.
- Adoption from care is rare: ~2,000 children per year.
- Looked-after children population: ~50,000.
- Adoption is a last resort, used mainly for complex cases.
- No financial incentives. No targets. No pressure.

◆ 1997: TONY BLAIR BECOMES PRIME MINISTER

A new political direction begins.
Blair declares the care system "too slow" and "not delivering permanency fast enough."

Behind the scenes, a shift begins:

 Adoption is reframed as the preferred outcome

 Performance culture enters children's services

HEADS UP: 5. CHILD TRAFFICKING

◆ 2000: THE PRIME MINISTER'S REVIEW OF ADOPTION

This is the turning point.

The review concludes:

- Too few children are being adopted
- Decisions take too long
- Councils must be “encouraged” to increase adoption numbers

This leads to the next step the most controversial.

◆ 2000-2004: ADOPTION TARGETS & FINANCIAL REWARDS

The government sets a national target:
Increase adoptions by 40-50% by 2004-05

To enforce this, Blair's government introduces:

Adoption Reward Grants

A £36 million pot paid to councils that increase adoption numbers.

Examples:

- Essex & Kent: £2 million+ each
- Norfolk, Gloucestershire, Cheshire, Hampshire: £1 million each

This is fact.
Councils were financially rewarded for increasing adoptions.

No private equity.
No bonuses for individual social workers.
But the institutional pressure was enormous.

◆ 2002: ADOPTION AND CHILDREN ACT

This law modernizes adoption and accelerates permanency planning.

Key effects:

- Adoption becomes a primary route, not a last resort
- Younger children are prioritized

HEADS UP: 5. CHILD TRAFFICKING

- Local authorities face pressure to meet targets
- The culture shifts from supporting families to removing children

◆ 2004-2007: THE NUMBERS EXPLODE

Here's the forensic reality:

Adoptions from care

- 1996: ~2,000
- 2004: ~3,800
- Increase: ~50%

Children entering care

- 1996: ~50,000
- 2004: ~61,000
- 2007: ~60,000

Newborn removals

Investigations show a 300% increase over roughly a decade.

The system did not reduce the number of children entering care. It increased removals and increased adoptions simultaneously.

THE PEOPLE'S ADVOCATE FORENSIC SUMMARY

Under Tony Blair:

- ✓ Adoption targets were introduced
- ✓ Councils were paid millions for hitting those targets
- ✓ Adoption numbers rose by 50%
- ✓ Newborn removals surged
- ✓ Children in care increased
- ✓ The culture shifted from family support to fast-track removal
- ✓ No evidence of private equity involvement in adoption decisions
- ✓ No evidence of bonuses for individual social workers

The financial gain was institutional, not private.

HEADS UP: 5. CHILD TRAFFICKING

But the impact on families was devastating.

⚠️ WHY THIS STILL MATTERS TODAY

The policies introduced between 1997 and 2007 reshaped the entire UK child-protection system.

They created:

- A target-driven culture
- A removal-first mindset
- A system that rewards outcomes, not support
- A generation of families separated under performance pressure

And the effects are still visible in every local authority today.

🔥 THE PEOPLE'S ADVOCATE MESSAGE TO THE PUBLIC

This is not about politics.

This is about truth, accountability, and the lives of children.

The People's Advocate stands with every parent, every child, and every family affected by these policies.

We expose the facts.

We challenge the system.

We fight for justice.

And we will not be silenced.

PLEASE 🙏

Share this.

Educate others.

Let the truth be known.